THERMAL PROPERTIES OF OXIDE GLASSES Part II. Activation energy as a criterion of thermal stability of Li₂O·2SiO₂·nTiO₂ glass systems against crystallization

E. Jóna^{1*}, P. Šimon², K. Nemčeková^{1,3}, V. Pavlík¹, G. Rudinská¹ and E. Rudinská¹

¹Department of Chemistry and Technology of Inorganic Materials, Faculty of Industrial Technologies, Trenčín University of Alexander Dubček, 020 32 Púchov, Slovakia

²Department of Physical Chemistry, Faculty of Chemical and Food Technology, Slovak University of Technology, 812 37 Bratislava, Slovakia

³Institute of Materials and Technologies Research, Faculty of Industrial Technologies, Trenčín University of Alexander Dubček, 020 32 Púchov, Slovakia

Three glasses with the composition of Li₂O·2SiO₂ (a), Li₂O·2SiO₂·0.03TiO₂ (b) and Li₂O·2SiO₂·0.1TiO₂ (c) were prepared and the relationship between structural and kinetic parameters of thermal stability *vs.* crystallization has been studied by X-ray diffraction, IR spectra and thermal analysis. The XRD patterns proved the presence of lithium metasilicate as a primary crystalline phase which subsequently transformed to lithium disilicate where the transformation is supported by the presence of TiO₂. The order of thermal stability *vs.* crystallization of studied glass systems based on the results of XRD is (a)<(b)<(c). The same order was obtained from the values of activation energy. It has been shown that the values of activation energy from the dependence of the maximum peak temperature on the heating rate. It has been discussed that the activation energy represents only an incomplete description of the kinetics of the crystallization process.

Keywords: diffraction and spectral properties, Li₂O-2SiO₂ nTiO₂ glass systems, thermal stability

Introduction

Thermal analysis is an indispensable tool for studying the thermal stability of glasses *vs.* crystallization [1, 2]. In order to characterize the tendency of glass to crystallize it can be encountered that, as a criterion, characteristic temperatures from DTA curves [3–5], values of activation energy, (E_a) [6, 7] or combined criteria such as E_a/RT [8] or the crystallization rate constant k(T) [9] are used.

In our previous paper the criteria have been reviewed and tested [3]. The results have shown that, for a set of glasses, individual criteria provide different order of thermal stability [3, 8]. Therefore, a new criterion for evaluating the thermal stability of glasses based on the induction period of crystallization has been suggested and verified [10]. In this paper the relation between structural parameters (diffraction and IR spectral data) and kinetic parameters (the values of activation energy) for Li₂O·2SiO₂·nTiO₂ systems (n=0; 0.03 and 0.1) has been studied from the point of view of thermal stability vs. crystallization.

Experimental

Preparation of glasses

Analytical grade reagents Li_2CO_3 , SiO_2 and TiO_2 were mixed by ball-milling and then melted in a platinum crucible at 1400°C for 2 h. The melts were quenched by pouring them into a cold steel mold.

Methods

The thermal stability of glass was studied using a TA Instrument 2960 SDT (USA). The DTA curves were measured in air using a platinum crucible. About 20–24 mg of powdered samples with a particle size of 0.16–0.10 mm and heating rates of 5, 10, 15, 20 and 25°C min⁻¹ were used. Calibration of the temperature and enthalpy scales was made using pure SiO₂ ($T_{\alpha\to\beta}$ = 575°C; ΔH = 20.19 J mol⁻¹).

Powder diffraction patterns were taken using a Philips 1730/50 (USA) reflection diffractometer with CuK_{α} radiation.

The infrared spectra were recorded at room temperature with a Nicolet Magna 750 FTIR (USA) spectrometer in the range of $4500-400 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

^{*} Author for correspondence: jona@fpt.tnuni.sk

Results and discussion

The glass samples will be further denoted as follows: Li₂O·2SiO₂·0.03TiO₂; (a) $Li_2O \cdot 2SiO_2;$ (b) (c) $Li_2O \cdot 2SiO_2 \cdot 0.1TiO_2$. Figure 1 shows the XRD patterns of the powdered sample (a) heated for 2 h at various temperatures and subsequently quenched to the room temperature. In Fig. 2 there are shown the XRD patterns of all three glass samples after being heated for 2 h at 595°C. The diffraction data are summarized in Table 1. Infrared spectra of the heat-treated samples are compared in Fig. 3 and the assignment of the infrared bands of studied glass systems is given in Table 2. Table 3 contains the characteristic temperatures recorded at the heating rates of 5, 10, 15, 20 and 25°C min⁻¹. The activation energies of crystallization of the glass samples are listed also in Table 3.



Fig. 1 XRD patterns of Li₂O·2SiO₂ glass heated for 2 h and quenched to the room temperature. Curves: a – heated at 535°C; b – heated at 555°C; c – heated at 595°C



Fig. 2 XRD patterns of Li₂O·2SiO₂·nTiO₂ systems heated at 595°C for 2 h and quenched to the room temperature. Curves: a − n=0, b − n=0.03, c − n=0.1



Fig. 3 Infrared spectra of powdered glass samples heated for 2 h and quenched to the room temperature. Curves: $a - Li_2O \cdot 2SiO_2$ heated at 535°C; $b - Li_2O \cdot 2SiO_2$ heated at 555°C; $c - Li_2O \cdot 2SiO_2$ heated at 595°C; $d - Li_2O \cdot 2SiO_2 \cdot 0.03TiO_2$ heated at 595°C; $e - Li_2O \cdot 2SiO_2 \cdot 0.1TiO_2$ heated at 595°C

The XRD patterns shown in Fig. 1a and b are typical for the amorphous state of the as-quenched $Li_2O \cdot 2SiO_2$ system. When the temperature of heat treatment changed from 550 to 595°C, the crystalline phases are formed (Fig. 1c). Although lithium disilicate has been also formed, the lithium metasilicate Li₂SiO₃ was the primary crystalline phase (Table 1) [11]. Diffraction peaks at $2\Theta = 18.940^{\circ}$ (d=4.68 Å) and $2\Theta=27.50^{\circ}$ (d=3.3 Å) are especially characteristic for Li₂SiO₃. On the other hand, the addition of TiO₂ to Li₂O·2SiO₂ system heated at 595°C results in a successive transformation of Li₂SiO₃ to $Li_2Si_2O_5$. In this case, the diffraction peaks of Li_2SiO_3 disappear and the intensities of the peaks characteristic for Li₂Si₂O₅ increase (2Θ =23.78° and 24.29°, curves b and c in Fig. 2). The peak at $2\Theta = 18.940^{\circ}$ is diagnostic for the formation of crystalline form of Li₂SiO₃. Its intensity increases in the order (a)>(b)>(c). Hence, it can be concluded that the order of the stability of the studied glass systems against crystallization is (a) < (b) < (c).

The structural changes occurring in the Li₂O·2SiO₂ system during heating at different temperature and/or increasing of TiO₂ content are manifested also in infrared spectra (Fig. 3). It is evident that the increase of the heat treatment temperature of Li₂O·2SiO₂ system brings about the appearance of new absorptions, especially for the treatment at 595°C in the region 450–1000 cm^{-1} . The broad band at 780 cm⁻¹ corresponding to the v_{svm} (Si–O–Si) vibration, splits into three components and the sharp band at ~850 cm⁻¹ can be connected with Li_2CO_3 impurities [12–14]. As Table 2 shows, the addition of TiO₂ to Li₂O·2SiO₂ system causes two main effects. There are observed the down shifts of the fundamental modes $v_{as}(Si-O-Si)$ (v_1, v'_1), v(Si-OH) and v(Si–O–M) and further the disappearance of some ab-

	а			b			с		Li ₂	SiO ₃	Li ₂	Si ₂ O ₅
2Θ/°	J/J_0	d/Å	2 0 /°	J/J_0	d/Å	2 $\Theta/^\circ$	J/J_0	d/Å	J/J_0	d/Å	J/J_0	d/Å
12.16	9	7.273	12.16	12	7.273	12.12	10	7.296	_	_	6	7.29
16.47	2	5.378	16.48	12	5.375	16.42	4	5.394	_	_	17	5.395
18.94	100	4.682	18.97	13	4.674	_	_	_	81	4.679	_	_
21.83	3	4.068	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
23.86	49	3.726	23.87	64	3.725	23.78	35	3.739	_	_	71	3.727
24.39	73	3.646	24.38	100	3.648	24.29	100	3.661	_	_	45	3.646
24.92	37	3.570	24.94	34	2.567	24.81	26	3.586	_	_	100	3.575
27.00	33	3.300	27.04	2	3.295	_	_	_	100	3.302	_	_
30.84	7	2.897	30.80	8	2.901	30.71	3	2.909	_	_	9	2.904
33.09	54	2.705	33.13	7	2.702	_	_	_	42	2.699	_	_
37.5	5	2.396	37.57	6	2.392	37.45	8	2.399	_	_	26	2.387
37.67	7	2.386	37.77	8	2.380	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
38.38	11	2.343	38.36	5	2.345	38.23	3	2.352	_	_	15	2.348
38.53	13	2.335	_	_	_	_	_	_	23	2.335	_	_
38.69	12	2.325	_	_	_	_	_	_	42	2.33	_	_
_	_	_	39.32	3	2.229	39.14	3	2.3	_	_	_	_

Table 1 Diffraction data of the studied glass systems heated at 595°C for 2 h: $a - Li_2O \cdot 2SiO_2$, $b - Li_2O \cdot 2SiO_2 \cdot 0.03TiO_2$, $c - Li_2O \cdot 2SiO_2 \cdot 0.1TiO_2$

Table 2 Selected absorption maxima of the glass systems heated at 595°C for 2 h: a – Li₂O·2SiO₂, b – Li₂O·2SiO₂·0.03TiO₂, c – Li₂O·2SiO₂·0.1TiO₂

Assignment		Band position/cm ⁻¹				
[13]		а	b	с		
v _{as} Si–O–Si	v'_1	1221(s)	1213(s)	1188(s)		
	ν_1	1063(br)	1027(br)	1018(br)		
vSi–OH		962(sh)	960(sh)	935(sh)		
vSi–O–M		932(s)	936(s)	_		
$\delta \! / \! \nu_{sym} Si \! - \! O \! - \! Si$	v_2	783(sh) 761(s) 731(s)	781(sh) 760(s) -			
νМ–О		636(sh) 609(sh)	636(s) _	_		
v _{as} Si–O–Si		468(br)	469(br)	467(br)		

sorptions with increasing the titanium content. These effects in infrared spectra are in a close relation with the structural transformations observed in the diffraction patterns.

The exothermic DTA peaks having the maximum at about 587–647°C (Table 3) arise due to the crystallization process which is related to the formation of crystalline phase of lithium metasilicate and/or lithium disilicate as dominant components, as detected in the X-ray diffraction measurements. To determine the activation energy associated with the crystallization process, the Kissinger and Ozawa

Table 3 Kinetic parameters of the glass systems under study: $a - Li_2O\cdot 2SiO_2$; $b - Li_2O\cdot 2SiO_2\cdot 0.03TiO_2$; $c - Li_2O\cdot 2SiO_2\cdot 0.1TiO_2$

Criterion/sample	а	b	с
$T_{\rm p}/{}^{\circ}{\rm C}^{*}$	588, 602, 610, 619, 628	597, 611, 620, 625, 632	614, 625, 632, 631, 647
$E(T_{\rm p})/{\rm kJ}~{\rm mol}^{-1}$	251	289	298
$E(\beta)/kJ \text{ mol}^{-1}$	266	304	332

*heating rates 5, 10, 15 and 25° C min⁻¹

methods, expressed by Eqs (1) and (2), were applied [3]:

$$\ln(\beta/T_{p}^{2}) = -E(T_{p})/(RT_{p}) - \ln E/R + \ln A$$
(1)

$$\ln\beta = -E(\beta)/(RT_{\rm p}) + C \tag{2}$$

where T_p is the maximum peak temperature on the DTA record, $E(T_p)$ and $E(\beta)$ are the activation energies obtained from the Kissinger and Ozawa methods, respectively.

The activation energies $E(T_p)$ and $E(\beta)$ are given in Table 3. On the basis of their values the resulting order of the stability against crystallization is (a)<(b)<(c) [3], i.e. the glasses with TiO₂ are more stable against crystallization than the glass without TiO₂. This order is in agreement with the one based on the induction period of crystallization [10]. The increase of activation energy with TiO₂ content in the glass composition can be explained by the formation of lithium disilicate. The activation energy for crystallization of lithium metasilicate is much lower than that for the crystallization of lithium disilicate [11, 15]. When the temperature and TiO₂ content increase, the lithium metasilicate transforms into lithium disilicate which should bring about a higher value of the activation energy of the global crystallization process (Table 3). Consequently, it can be assumed that the formation of $Li_2Si_2O_5$ from Li_2SiO_3 belongs to rate-limiting steps of the crystallization mechanism, at least in the case of glasses containing TiO₂.

As seen from Table 3, the activation energies $E(T_p)$ and $E(\beta)$ give the same order of the glass stability. This agreement is self-explanatory since it can be shown that $E(T_p)$ and $E(\beta)$ are not independent. After expressing ln β both from Eqs (1) and (2) and differentiating the resulting equations with respect to T_p , one can get:

$$E(T_{\rm p}) = E(\beta) - 2RT_{\rm p} \tag{3}$$

Hence, $E(T_p)$ is lower than $E(\beta)$ by $2RT_p$; this fact is seen also from the data presented in Table 3. Equation (3) shows that $E(T_p)$ and $E(\beta)$ are equivalent so that only one of them should be used as a criterion for the thermal stability of glass against crystallization. The Ozawa method is isoconversional so that the activation energy is obtained from a dependence of the isoconversional temperature on the heating rate using Eq. (2). Our experience is that the conversion corresponding to the peak maximum is not constant, it slightly moves with the heating rate. In the Kissinger method, the activation energy is obtained from a dependence of the temperature of peak maximum on the heating rate using Eq. (1); the constant value of conversion is not a prerequisite [16]. Thus, the Kissinger method should be used for obtaining the activation energy from the peak maxima temperatures and the activation energy $E(T_p)$ should be preferred to $E(\beta)$ as a criterion of the glass stability against crystallization.

The order of the stability against crystallization (a)<(b)<(c), resulting from the values of activation energy, correlates with the order of maximum peak temperatures and the inflexion point temperatures. These characteristic temperatures increase with the increasing content of TiO₂; the greater these temperatures, the more stable the glass should be. The characteristic temperatures increase with the increasing heating rate. The advantage of the use of activation energy as a criterion is that it is constant and independent of the heating rate. However, one should be extraordinarily careful when drawing conclusions since the activation energy is only one of the three components of the kinetic triplet. Knowing just the value of the activation energy, description of the kinetics of the crystallization process is incomplete.

Conclusions

The relation between structural and kinetic parameters of thermal stability *vs.* crystallization of $Li_2O \cdot 2SiO_2 \cdot nTiO_2$ systems (*n*=0; 0.03 and 0.1) has been studied by X-ray diffraction, IR spectra and thermal analysis. The XRD patterns proved the presence of lithium metasilicate as a primary crystalline phase which subsequently transformed to lithium disilicate. The transformation is supported by the presence of TiO₂. This structural change causes down shifts and disappearance of some fundamental modes in IR spectra.

The order of thermal stability vs. crystallization of studied glass systems based on the values of activation energy is (a) < (b) < (c). The increasing value of activation energy is connected with the formation of lithium disilicate which is accompanied with higher value of activation energy than the formation of lithium metasilicate. It has been shown that the values of activation energies obtained from the Ozawa and Kissinger methods are equivalent and that the Kissinger method should be used to obtain the activation energy from the dependence of the maximum peak temperature on the heating rate. It has been discussed that the activation energy represents only an incomplete description of the kinetics of the crystallization process and one should keep this in mind when drawing conclusions.

Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by Slovak Grant Agency VEGA, of Slovak Ministry of Education (Grants No. 1/3161/06 and 1/0054/03).

References

- 1 R. Iordanova, E. Lefterova, I. Uzunov, Y. Dimitriev and D.Klissurski, J. Therm. Anal. Cal., 70 (2002) 393.
- 2 C. Păcurariu, D. Tita, R. I. Lazău, G. Kovacs and I. Lazău, J. Therm. Anal. Cal., 72 (2003) 23.
- 3 E. Jóna, K. Nemčeková, A. Plško, D. Ondrušová and P. Šimon, J. Therm. Anal. Cal., 76 (2004) 85.
- 4 A. Dietzel, Glass Technol. Ber., 22 (1968) 41.
- 5 A. Hrubý, Czech J. Phys., 22 (1972) 1987.
- 6 A. Marotta, A. Buri and F. J. Branda, J. Non-Cryst. Solids, 95–96 (1987) 593.
- 7 X. Zhao and S. J. Sakka, J. Non-Cryst. Solids, 95–96 (1987) 487.

- 8 F. Branda, A. Marotta and A. J. Buri, J. Non-Cryst. Solids, 134 (1991) 123.
- 9 K. Cheng, J. Phys. Chem. B, 103 (1999) 8272.
- 10 P. Šimon, K. Nemčeková, E. Jóna, A. Plško and D. Ondrušová, Thermochim. Acta, 428 (2005) 11.
- A. P. Novaes de Oliveira, O. E. Alarcon, T. Manfredini, G. C. Pellacani and C. Siligardi, Phys. Chem. Glasses, 41 (2000) 100.
- 12 T. Uchino, T. Saakka and M. Iwrasaki, J. Am. Ceram. Soc., 74 (1991) 306.
- 13 A. P. Novaes de Oliveira, C. Leonelli, T. Manfredini, G. C. Pellacani, G. Ramis, M. Trombetta and G. Busca, Phys. Chem. Glasses, 39 (1998) 213.
- 14 M. K. Murthy and E. M. Kirly, J. Am. Ceram. Soc., 45 (1962) 324.
- 15 W. F. Hammetter and R. E. Loehman, J. Am. Ceram. Soc., 70 (1987) 577.
- 16 H. E. Kissinger, Anal. Chem., 29 (1957) 1702.

DOI: 10.1007/s10973-005-7548-0